

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Mexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/521,618	03/08/2000	Marc Jan Rene Loblans	07619-0005	1556
27777	7590 11/24/2003		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON			SPEARS, ERIC J	
ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003

Plcase find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1./				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/521,618	LEBLANS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eric J Spears	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Etheroisns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timaly filed after SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rapy within the statutory ininimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rapy within the statutory ininimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Failure to reply within the set or element of the period of the reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ASANDONED, (35 U.S. C, § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned palant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.4	Ipril 2003 .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 5.6,21,51 and 52 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>5.6.21.51 and 52</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/521,618

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "substantially elongated" in Claim 52, line 9 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "substantially elongated" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

The term "distant" in Claim 52, line 10 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "distant" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Further regarding Claim 52, the phrase "may be positioned" renders the claim indefinite as the phrase does not recite any real limitation on the structure of the device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/521,618

Art Unit: 2878

. . .

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was palented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5, 6, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sato et al. (5.530.237).

Regarding Claims 5, 6, and 21, Sato teaches an apparatus for automatically focusing a microscope comprising, an objective lens 2, an illumination beam source 10 emitting visible light, an imaging lens 3, an autofocusing light beam source emitting infrared light 17, a beamsplitter 7, a detection system lens 18, and an autofocus detecting device comprising, sensor 22, an iris 21, an auxiliary beam splitter 19, a auxiliary light sensor 20, and a focusing correction system which determines the displacement of the image plane from a preferred reference plane as recited in Claims 5, 6, and 21 (Col. 2, lines 56-62; Col. 5, lines 24-27; Col. 6, lines 53-59) (See also Fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al. (5.530.237).

Application/Control Number: 09/521,618

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding Claim 52, Sato teaches a microscope comprising, a plurality of lenses 2, 3, a support 25, an object S, an optical output device 5, wherein a main optical axis is unfolded (See Fig. 1). Sato does not explicitly teach a support holding the lenses 2 and 3. However, it is well known in the art to align and hold optical elements to provide for proper functioning of an optical device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a support for the lenses 2 and 3, as supporting and aligning optical elements is well known in the art, in order to provide for proper functioning of the microscope.

Double Patenting

Claims 5, 6, 21, 51, and 52 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5-8, 10, 12, 14-16, 28, 30, and 31 of copending Application No. 10/220,872. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of present application have the same scope as the claims in copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/22/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Sato clearly anticipates Claims 5, 6, and 21 as details above.

Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 52 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Spears whose telephone number is (703) 306-0033. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00am to 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

EJS 11/14/03

